THE SECRETARY OF EDUCATION'S COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE OF HIGHER EDUCATION

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY,
AUGUST 10, 2006

+ + + + +

The Commission met in the Barnard Auditorium, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C., at 10:00 a.m., Charles Miller, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

CHARLES MILLER Chairman NICHOLAS DONOFRIO Commissioner JAMES J. DUDERSTADT Commissioner Commissioner JONATHAN GRAYER JAMES B. HUNT, JR. Commissioner ARTURO MADRID Commissioner ROBERT MENDENHALL Commissioner CHARLENE R. NUNLEY Commissioner CATHERINE B. REYNOLDS Commissioner Commissioner ARTHUR J. ROTHKOPF RICHARD STEPHENS Commissioner LOUIS W. SULLIVAN Commissioner SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER Commissioner RICHARD VEDDER Commissioner CHARLES M. VEST Commissioner DAVID WARD Commissioner ROBERT M. ZEMSKY Commissioner Ex Officio JOHN BAILEY Ex Officio DAVID DUNN PETER FALETRA Ex Officio CHERYL OLDHAM Executive Director

A-G-E-N-D-A

		PAGE	
I.	Introduction and Discussion of Meeting Process	3	
II.	Update on Process for Production and Transmittal of Final Commission Report	5	
III.	Motion to Adopt Draft Report	7	
IV.	Commission Discussion - Follow-up to Report	49	
V.	Adjourn	65	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(10:08 a.m.)

_

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Good morning. I call the meeting to order of the Secretary's Commission on the Future of Higher Education.

We have 11 members here. We have a quorum. We are expecting to have six members telephonically. Two are not available by phone, literally, way out of pocket, I've had input from them, which I can report later.

Thank you. Thank you for taking the time, putting this on your calendar, for doing all the hard work. The meeting has a fairly specific purpose to make a decision on the proposed report for the Secretary's Commission on the Future of Higher Education.

Because of the nature of the structure of the meeting, the telephonic and the people in person, and because we've had this extra issue of airport security and people are on the schedule, I'm going to try to be efficient, on time. And I know most of you would be that way, so here's what we would like to do for the meeting.

Staying on plan, I'm going to talk just briefly about the work of the Commission. Cheryl Oldham will talk about the process going forward that

would be the legal and operational, and so on, part of the work of the Commission and how we might finish. And then, we'll accept a motion to adopt the report.

Governor Hunt, who is in North Carolina, has had some surgery early this week, is prepared to make a motion, and Arturo Madrid to second it. I'm going to read that motion for them at the beginning of it, and then what I'd like to do is for people to have a chance to go around and I'll call on you individually to make a comment, like you'd have a discussion but try to do it in an organized way, comment as briefly as you can make it.

And then, as a result of that discussion we would like to have a concluding vote. That would be the purpose of -- so the comments might be helpful if they would be conclusive. Anybody have a question or a comment about that process?

Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER HUNT: Mr. Chairman, could you please move a little closer to the microphone? It's very faint.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you. Is that better for you guys?

COMMISSIONER HUNT: Yes, that's much better.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thanks. I appreciate

that.

I believe this is the eighth meeting of the Commission, with six -- the sixth full Commission meeting in 10 months, six locations. We've had a huge volume of input -- reports, e-mails, telephone calls, meetings individually, small group meetings, a large amount of dial-up among ourselves by e-mail or telephone calls, and when I say a large amount I can't understate that.

I've never seen a hardworking group -- as hardworking and dedicated a group as this, or a smarter group of people. I thought to myself when the Commission was appointed that if the Secretary wanted to turn over the running of the country to a group this would qualify.

(Laughter.)

And I feel that way here toward the end. I feel so much confidence, and I want to thank you for that participation. There's a lot to be done in the sense of the follow-up to the report. I think we've put a lot on the table to be done.

Cheryl Oldham will tell us now the operational mechanics of the way we can finish our report, assuming we make a decision on the report today.

MS. OLDHAM: As the Chairman said,

assuming we make a decision here today, it's sort of just the beginning of the end in terms of getting this to a place that you all would feel comfortable presenting it to the Secretary. It clearly is not in that form or that place currently, and so we've got a few steps to go through in terms of copy editing, cleaning it up, graphics, things like that. We've got a contractor who will lay it out. All of these are sort of several processes to get to a complete document that we would then present to the Secretary in its final form.

All of that needs to go through the Government Printing Office requirement for printing that type of thing. All of that is probably, you know, at minimum a month process. So the plan would be or the goal would be to have something to deliver to her in its final form mid-September, present to her hopefully with the signatures of those Commissioners on the document, and present to her in mid-September in its final form.

Any questions about that or -- (No response.)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. If we take action in a prompt enough manner, we'll have plenty of time, if people would like to, to discuss the -- some going-forward ways we can help the process, help the

1 Secretary, help the country to take advantage of the work we've done, so we'll have a chance to discuss But we'll have also a chance to continue that today. to do that, and we'd like your involvement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I wrote a note to myself recently that we reached a strong consensus of the Commission members, and I probably should have said a consensus of the strong Commission members.

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER **HUNT:** Maybe so, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: It's been hard work, sometimes contentious, but from a diverse group of people that's the way it ought to be. I think we have come to some important conclusions, and I think people can read this report in that way.

Governor Hunt is in North Carolina, and he is prepared to make a motion.

COMMISSIONER HUNT: Mr. Chairman, Ι I move for the adoption of the report of the Secretary's Commission on the Future of Higher Education, as represented in the current draft dated I would assume, Mr. Chairman, that this motion would allow for minor adjustments to be made for better drafting, editing, and the inclusion of tools to improve the presentation to

public.

And, Mr. Chairman, if I may make just a brief comment here, even before you entertain a second. Let me say to my fellow Commission members that I think this report will be one of the most important reports in the education and economic history of our country, if we act on it.

Our nation needs its higher education, and we've constantly referred to this as America's higher education. It doesn't belong just to colleges and universities. It's our -- America higher education. Our nation needs it to be better and to be more accessible to all the people of America.

The economic competitiveness of our nation requires it, and I think our moral obligation to equal opportunity for all of our people demands it. I'm hopeful that our effort on this Commission will result in a national sense of urgency and a sustained commitment to improve higher education similar to that caused by A Nation at Risk over two decades ago.

Mr. Chairman, I was a Governor when that Commission reported. In fact, I was the Chairman of the Education Commission of the States. And within a matter of months we had a very strong report come forward to respond to that, and we've been seeing very strong responses ever since.

In fact, I would say that for over two decades now we've been responding to those very strong proposals to improve K-12 education. And that has happened in large measure because the people involved in it then have pushed forth and we have continued to go forward.

Chairman Miller, I want to commend you for your leadership of this Commission. I was proud to be a part of the work of this group, and I want to commend all the members. And I want to strongly, strongly urge, as I make this motion, that as we consider this today -- and I hope all vote for it -- I want to urge that we push forward to do the things that are in this report.

I want to especially urge that all of the organizations that represent higher education -- and we've heard from many of them over these months, as we should have -- I hope that all of them will help us push forward. This will not be a time to nitpick and to complain and to try to explain away some things. This is going to be a time where we need to push forward and to really make this work and change America behind this report.

So I hope very much that it will be considered favorably today, and that we will push forward to make higher education in this nation all

that it can be, all that it should be, and all that it must be.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Governor.

Appreciate that -- your comments, and especially your long-term leadership on all forms of education.

I'd like to recognize Dr. Arturo Madrid for a second.

COMMISSIONER MADRID: Mr. Chairman, I'm pleased to second Governor Hunt's motion, and with your permission I'd like to add my remarks, too, briefly.

I leave this undertaking with the same concerns with which I entered it -- that our institutions of higher education are principally structured to do better by the best, and do very well by them, but increasingly find themselves unable or not disposed to do better by the rest.

Our findings indicate that these days we are principally privileging the privileged, those of us who by good -- by the good fortune of having been born into stable, affluent, educated families, who live in secure neighborhoods and attend schools of reasonable size, whose teachers are well qualified and adequately rewarded, who have reasonable access to institutions of higher education, who by virtue of those circumstances, the circumstances of birth and of

civic status, are able to have expectations that match their aspirations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

All of us here had most of those conditions, are present here by virtue of them. We need to remind ourselves that a very large percentage of our potential students do not.

We can argue about the specifics but not about the consequences. The gap between those with advantage and those with little or none is something that is obvious. A healthy society rests on the well being of all of its citizens. Its public and private institutions must serve and serve well the interests of all.

hold this extraordinary, Not one magnificent institution to this goal is to risk the health of our society and its institutions. you to approve this report, whatever misgiving you with it, because it addresses have that very challenge, however imperfect.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Arturo.

I'm going to ask the members of the Commission to talk about it in the room first, in alphabetical order, and have a brief pause between each member and statement, so that we give people on the phone a chance to make a comment or intervene or

12 1 say something about their time. Nick Donofrio. 2 3 COMMISSIONER DONOFRIO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Commissioners and colleagues. I'll be 4 5 very brief. What I'm about to say everybody already Education has been and will be the hope of the 6 7 future of our country. It's clearly that case for me. 8 Thinking, inventing, creating, 9 discovering, innovating, that's where the real value is in the global economy that we participate in. 10 11 report goes a tremendous distance in trying to move us 12 in that direction. I, too, urge my colleagues to 13 support this report no matter what their misgivings 14 In the final analysis, the greater good is 15 served. 16 In the final analysis, I remind you we 17 have aspired, we have clearly inspired, and now it is time for us to perspire. The heavy work is clearly 18 19 ahead of us. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you very much. 22 Jonathan Grayer. 23 COMMISSIONER GRAYER: Thank you,

COMMISSIONER GRAYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I, too, will be brief. And like my preceding speakers, I do not believe anything I'm saying is not widely held around this room.

24

25

I will say that I support this final document, because in large part I think it starts to recognize that the future of our higher educational system will be driven by its ability to serve those that are coming into the system for the first time. That if you look at our current institutions by definition they will have very little growth.

They have very few -- little capacity to serve more students. New students that are coming either because of the echo boom that is driving demographics throughout the country, or because matriculation rates are happily going up, are going to have to be served through alternative means of education through online education, through community colleges growing into bachelor programs, all kinds of new ways of serving it.

I think that our report begins to address that, and if it does address that Dr. Madrid's vision of being able to serve the best to the rest will be a goal we can achieve. So I heartily endorse what we've done here and hope that, as Nick says, the work will go ahead in making that true.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Jonathan, for your work.

Robert Mendenhall.

COMMISSIONER MENDENHALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Is that mike on? I want to start by expressing appreciation to the Secretary and my colleagues on the Commission for the opportunity to have served. I, speaking personally, have enjoyed immensely this opportunity and have learned a great deal about the higher ed system in the United States.

And what I have learned has caused a greater sense of urgency and concern that we address in this report. But I think we found during the course of our testimony significant facts. One that the gaps between those who have access to higher education and those who have limited access has actually been increasing over the last 20 years as opposed to decreasing, and we need to change policies to close that gap.

Secondly, there are significant qualify concerns with data such as the national assessment of adult literacy and other data that suggest that we need to increasingly focus on the effectiveness of the education that we're delivering. And we've certainly spent a lot of time on the affordability issues around higher education.

I want to express my support for this report, the findings and the recommendations, in particular that we need to increase need-based aid,

4 5

much.

that we need to focus on closing this gap, that we need greater accountability and transparency for results in the higher ed system.

and I think the report makes a strong endorsement of reducing regulation and restrictions that inhibit new models and new technologies and new ways of delivering education, because fundamentally I believe that technology and new models will enable us in the next decade or two to educate far more effectively and efficiently than we've been able to do in the past.

And with that, I simply want to express appreciation for the opportunity to get to know some very smart people in this arena, to learn a lot about higher education, and I pledge my support to helping implement the recommendations that we've made as a Commission.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Bob, very

Dr. Charlene Nunley.

COMMISSIONER NUNLEY: Good morning. I, too, want to thank the Secretary and my fellow Commissioners, and also the Chair and the staff, who I think have worked endless hours to try to bring us to where we are today.

When I came on the Commission I came with some goals, which I typically do in things that I approach in life, and one of those goals was to try to assure that this report had a powerful statement about access, which I believe is the most crucial issue for the future of our country.

And I'm very pleased to say that it does that, and by "access" I don't mean just getting students in the door. I mean broadening access to historically under-represented populations and progressing them through and out the door, which I think we still have some very significant challenges to face in higher education.

I think the report is very strong on access with its need-based aid components and its call for partnering between colleges and high schools to try to assure that students enter college better prepared. A second goal was to try to assure that issues important to community colleges and their students were well represented in this report.

Too often, although we serve nearly half of the undergraduate students in the nation, we are the afterthought when people converse about higher education. And we are front and center in the issues that this report addresses. And so I want to thank my colleagues on the Commission for working to assure

that issues important to America's community colleges and their needs are well represented in this report.

Finally, I wanted to make sure that we created a report that did something good for the students who are enrolling in higher education. I listened to the powerful testimony by the students who are taking on these incredible loan burdens that are compromising their capability to even pursue the careers that they would most like to pursue, and where we need them to work in this economy.

I am very pleased that this report calls for a substantial increase in need-based aid, and for a dramatic simplification of the federal financial aid process, which again I think is very, very important to these historically under-represented populations that must come into our institutions.

Finally, I'm glad because community colleges are very front and center in issues of workforce preparation, that this report has strong recommendations on adult and workforce preparation, which I think we again don't focus on enough when we speak about higher education.

I am pleased to have been a part of this process, and I, too, pledge my commitment to doing all that I can to help the recommendations in this report to be advanced in higher education.

18 1 CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you very much, 2 Charlene. Catherine Reynolds. 3 4 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I, too, would like 5 to thank the Secretary, my fellow Commissioners, the staff, and, of course, our Chairman, Charles Miller. 6 I believe this is a very powerful initiative to help 7 create an educated citizenry. And I think the report 8 9 reflects strong statements about access and about the 10 need to give more funding to the needy, which I 11 support very strongly. 12 And while there has been lot 13 discussion about the cost of higher education, I still believe that it is the best investment we can make as 14 15 a country and by individuals. So with that, I pledge 16 my support for this report. 17 Thank you. CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Catherine. 18 19 Sara Martinez Tucker. 20 COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Thank Mr. 21 Chairman.

I'm going to start with the staff, because I think the staff did a tremendous job in enabling a contentious debate and bringing οf our together. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to my fellow Commissioners.

22

23

24

25

26

I'm reminded that high school

college graduations, many of the commencement speakers often exhort the graduates that it isn't the ending but it's the beginning. And I think in a sense that that's the same -- true for us.

This report makes six strong statements. The first is that we want every American child to graduate high school ready for post-secondary education. The second is that we want to make sure that these students are able to afford education both by having more resources, and, second, by keeping the cost of education affordable for Americans.

We're asking our colleges and universities to ensure that the product that they sell to the students is indeed a good product, and that they continue to innovate to ensure that that statement is true for future generations.

We're asking for an increased focus in fields that are important for our global competitiveness. And, lastly, we're asking us all to become lifelong learners.

But as we make our recommendations and gather today to endorse these six strong statements, I want to encourage us to remember that this national dialogue that we've had thus far to get us to this point is only the beginning.

As several others have said, the devil is

in the details, and if we don't enable or facilitate the better alignment between high school standards and college admission, if we don't restructure financial aid to get more resources to the needy, if we don't continuously innovate and keep college affordable, then we will have failed as a Commission. And so my hope would be that this dialogue that we've started is a dialogue that my Commissioners and I will continue to have with the Secretary to ensure that we implement these proposals for the good of our country and for the good of our students.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Sara, very much. I'm reminded that I didn't identify everyone that was on the phone. Governor Hunt talked, but Dr. Duderstadt is on the phone, Arthur Rothkopf, Richard Stephens, Louis Sullivan, and Robert Zemsky, members of the Commission. So I apologize for that, not saying that earlier. I just made the statement they were there without identifying them.

I know Dr. Zemsky has in his DNA that he's going to be last everywhere, so I hope he doesn't mind being in that position now. But next on our list would be Dr. Richard Vedder.

COMMISSIONER VEDDER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Continuing this higher education love

fest --

(Laughter.)

I want to not only echo what people have said about thanking Secretary Spellings, Chairman Miller, and the staff, but I think we ought to at least mention the names of a few of the staff people. And I'm not --there's Cheryl Oldham over here, who is the Staff Director, Vicky Schray, Eleanor Schiff, and Kristen Vetri, among others, and I'm sure the Chairman will fill in more at the end. But they've been most helpful in this.

Now, my take on this is a little different than some of the others, but it comes to the same conclusion. For me it was a tough decision to decide how to vote on this report. But the bottom line is that the report's recommendations, if adopted, will improve higher education in the United States and will improve the quality of life for the American people. That's an important bottom line.

However, let me mention in passing some of my concerns, and not out of criticism of what we've done, about what we perhaps didn't do. My concerns are more -- about more of errors of omission than commission. No pun intended.

The report says virtually nothing about the more than \$80 billion student loan industry, and

the small effort that the chair made to say something about that was removed because of a good deal of protest, which to me is perhaps a bad omen for the future of the recommendations of the Commission.

We do not speak about the deplorable lack of intellectual diversity and the stifling of freedom of expression on some campuses. We say nothing about the hedonistic culture and the lack of high performance expectations at some universities, as is symbolized by such phenomena as grade inflation.

We do not speak of concerns raised by Harry Lewis and others about the indifference of faculty at some campuses about the broader moral, cultural, and civic development of young adults. We say nothing about the lack of coherence in our curriculum. And perhaps we overly stress in this report the vocational dimensions of higher education relative to other objectives such as the furtherance of the advancement of western civilization in its broadest dimensions.

We do not say enough about research -- a point made by some other university people. And with respect to research, we say nothing about the deplorable current tendency of politicians -- and I will name names, members of -- well, I won't name names, there's too many of them --

(Laughter.)

interfere in the rational allocation of research resources. Yet having said all this, I think we say a lot of useful things in this report. As others have mentioned, coming -- being the victim of alphabetic discrimination, although not as much as Mr. Zemsky and Mr. Ward and Mr. Vest, I -- nearly everything has been said.

But this report does move to make colleges more efficient, more affordable. We aim to help lower income and disadvantaged persons of all ages, including adults, enter college. And above all, we advocate greater transparency and measurement of outcomes, which I think in and of itself, is an innovation of potentially transcendental importance if it is adopted.

Therefore, I, too, will sign the report.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Rich.

Dr. Charles Vest.

COMMISSIONER VEST: Mr. Chairman, I agree with what my colleague Rich Vedder has said in the sense that this report does not address everything about higher education that could be, and perhaps even should be, addressed. But I think it addresses some very important issues, and I certainly intend to vote

favorably on Governor Hunt's motion to adopt this report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I wanted to say very briefly that there were two things that I valued above all else, both in service on this Commission and in terms of the report that we have drafted. The first is that this Commission represents the huge breadth of post-secondary education in the United States.

all know lot about а research universities and colleges, but it was very important that at the table we had people engaged in distance learning, community colleges, for profit as well as not for profit, and I hope that this is the beginning of a broader and more continuous dialogue among these groups, and that we come to think of ourselves more as a system, not something that is mandated or oppressive but that through working together we come to think about the entire breadth of post-secondary education. I value that.

Secondly, I am extremely grateful to my fellow Commissioners and our Chairman and everyone else involved that this report has very straightforward language about the importance in this country of racial diversity and economic diversity and the lack of access and opportunity that some groups feel much more strongly than others.

And as I said at one of our earlier meetings, I believe that the most important thing the Commission could do was to make a bold and meaningful statement and proposal about reforming our financial aid system and investing more in need-based grant aid for our students. And I'm grateful that the group did just that.

I also want to comment very quickly on three other things. One is that as Rich has said, we do pay a lot of attention to what the country has to do in terms of generating knowledge and knowledgeable citizens to be competitive, and I would like to always emphasize and to lead in the complicated global economy in which our new generation lives and works.

We recognize excellence up front. We almost take it for granted. But we recognize -- I would like to emphasize today that this is not about excellence in education just for its own sake. It is about sustaining and enhancing the excellence of our total post-secondary education system to produce knowledge and to produce an educational capacity for our nation and, indeed, for the world.

I believe -- and these are my words, not the report's -- that I read this report as calling for three things -- innovation, reform, and investment.

It calls for creating an infrastructure and an

environment in which innovation, reform, and investment can take place.

The report emphasizes the first two more than the third, although the investment is in there, and the call for investment in financial aid, the call for investment in research, and I believe that we have stressed the need for innovation and reform in higher education because it is through those two things that we will earn the investments on the part of our federal governments, our state governments, and our private donors that will enable us to provide excellence, access, and opportunity to our citizens.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Chuck, very, very much.

Dr. David Ward.

COMMISSIONER WARD: I guess I may be providing the rain on this unanimous reaction to the report. I do share with everybody a very positive reaction to the report, but that in its entirety I feel reluctant to sign it. Let me say a little bit, and also mention in the beginning that the concerns that I have had and have been expressed have been received courteously and with frankness.

And I do appreciate, the chair and I have had many dialogues over differences and similarities

amongst us and the staff work. So as somebody who has some apprehension about some of the material, I still feel strongly that the process gave a voice of moderate dissonance, certainly its place and respect.

We have clearly defined key issues.

The --

COMMISSIONER DUDERSTADT: Could I ask if you could get closer to the microphone and speak up? It's a little hard to hear.

COMMISSIONER WARD: That we have certainly defined the key issues. I'm almost entirely supportive of the material on access, and I think in other areas much of it I find important, particularly the idea of transparent accountability.

Where I think I have apprehension is that I wish that we could have built our arguments more on the strength of higher education than on the idea that there may be a crisis or even an emerging crisis. I know this is a bit of a tightrope, but I would wish that we could have said a little more about best practices and how best practices that are currently occurring in a very hopeful way could have been scaled up, so that in a sense we're not moving from a base of nothing but from a base of strength.

My second issue is, and it has already been mentioned by Chuck, and I think by Jonathan,

higher education -- and certainly the membership of my association -- is very varied, whether we think of community colleges, preparatory schools, distance education, major research universities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And there is a tendency as one sizes up problems and tries to generalize about those problems. The solutions and the analysis has a sort of one size fits all to it. I think we've avoided that overtly, but I think as we move forward the sense that one size fits all, whether it be accountability or how you manage financial aid, is something that I would just provide a caution about.

The third observation I would make -- and back to Chuck Vest's comes comments about innovation, access, and investment -even achieve our goals of cost containment and more effective institutions, even if we have transparent accountability that makes visible the limitations and strengths of higher education, there will still in my view be an enormous fiscal challenge of creating the investment resources to create the need-based grants that sustain our democracy.

I think we have not quite got our arms around the sheer challenge of combining high access and high quality, and what the balance between individual responsibility, the state responsibility,

and the university's responsibility may be. It's a three-legged stool, and how those proportions are assigned I think in this report there is a slight tendency, and I'd just say a slight tendency, to attribute some problems almost entirely to higher education when there are multiple factors involved in creating those problems.

Finally, let me say that I valued and learned a great deal from this Commission, and it is, I think, the beginning of a dialogue, not the end of a dialogue. I think I personally, because of the role I play, can be more effective if I am free to contest not many but some pretty critical aspects of this report as we move forward.

So it is in sharing with many of the members of this Commission the very positive, forceful demand for change and reform, but that I have some apprehension about some of the details and some of the implications of the solutions as we look at both the fiscal realities and the sheer diversity and magnitude of higher education.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, David.

Dr. Duderstadt. James Duderstadt.

COMMISSIONER DUDERSTADT: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you.

with others in commending the members of the Commission for the dedication and the wisdom they have devoted over the past several months to this task. As Chuck has mentioned, I think one of the very strengths of the Commission was its diversity, and it also made the task of converging on chief recommendations and drafting the report a considerable challenge.

And in this regard, I really must commend the chair and the staff of the Commission for not only their responsiveness to our many suggestions and concerns, but their skill in weaving together this dialogue through a process that has allowed us to arrive together at a series of recommendations that are both powerful and extremely important to the future of higher education and its capacity to serve the nation.

In running through this very quickly, at the 100,000-foot level, removing the barriers to enable access to the success in higher education for all Americans, restructuring financial aid, calling for the transparency, accountability, and commitment to public purpose, that I think we believe is necessary to earn public trust and confidence, investing in innovation, calling for commitment to

has

lifelong learning, and responding to the unfairness of a global knowledge economy. These are very strong recommendations of immense importance. the Like others on Commission mentioned thus far, there are details in the report like many of you, Ι would frame somewhat differently. But I think the recommendations are so compelling, and the report more generally is important that it's deserving of our strong support and it certainly will have mine. 10 11 12

And I really agree with Governor Hunt that our attention must now turn to how each of us can lend of making our support the task these to recommendations a reality. There's going to be a lot of heavy lifting in the months and years ahead to bring these recommendations into action, and that's how I think we can best serve the nation.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Jim.

Governor Hunt, I was going to skip you this round. Are you there still, sir?

COMMISSIONER HUNT: I'm here, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: And come back toward the conclusion, so if you have something more to add after this for your motion.

Arthur Rothkopf.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3 4

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COMMISSIONER ROTHKOPF: Yes, thank you, Charles. I would like to particularly commend the Chairman and the staff, as others have said, pursuing and developing a process that I think has together а brought group that started in different places. There were skeptics out there, including members of the said this press who Commission couldn't get together on recommendations, couldn't agree on things.

And as the process developed under your leadership, Mr. Chairman, we, I think, have gotten to a place where I think the vast majority of us feel very, very comfortable where we are. There are things that are not said. There are recommendations some of us might have liked that are not in this report. But we have a report that hits the high points, and in many ways it is right that we be looking at a limited number of very significant recommendations on the very, very key issues that are here.

And let me just make a point about the process, and that is over the past several months there has been a national dialogue. All these groups that have come before us in person or in writing who have presented their views, not only have those views impacted those of us on the Commission, but I also believe that we're starting to see some movement in

higher education.

I would particularly commend the land grant institutions under the leadership of Peter McPherson, beginning to look at opportunities for outcome assessment and more transparency. Similarly, the AAU group, which has come forward, and begun to look at options. That is happening because this Commission has been in existence, and because of what we've talked about and the issues of assessment and accountability.

And I think what is happening is that at least parts of higher education are beginning to focus on the problems and begin to focus on solutions. I think there are a couple of areas where I think we have done exceptionally well. I think the issue of access is number one and probably number for all of us when we met and put our preferences on board.

And I think the focus needs to be on need-based aid, and another of those is that we can't change the system. And some of these programs are out there, and they're in the law, or they're in practice. I think we have to look at where financial aid, where tax credits are, where private institutions are giving so-called merit aid.

All that merit aid is taking money away from those who need the money the most, and I would

urge that those institutions and those government agencies that are funding merit aid ought to look as to whether that's the right thing to be doing. And I think we have put that issue on the table.

Overall, I think we have come up with a very powerful report, a report that I think will serve the country well, and will move us in the direction of having -- being able to meet our competitive needs.

And let me just conclude with a plea to the private institutions out there. I was, I think most of you know, president of a private institution for 12 years, very active at both the state and federal level in the associations, in the independent sector. And I'd have to say -- and I've said this to the president, that I -- I've said it privately, and I'll say it publicly, I think there has not been a sufficiently positive response to try and deal with the issue, that the process may be beginning.

So I think the private sector, which is affected very much by these issues of transparency and accountability, need to take a hard look at what we're recommending and not just as a reflex say no. I think it's important for the private sector to be open, and I want to urge the presidents of private institutions to read this report and see what it actually says and recommends before taking an approach of, gee, this

can't be done.

We I think have done a remarkably good job of putting these important issues on the table. I think we've got a series of good recommendations. We want to deal with people as we go forward, and I'm committed to do whatever I can to help implement what I think is a remarkable document.

So, again, thank you to the Chairman, thank you to the staff, and to my fellow Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Art.

Ricky Stephens.

COMMISSIONER STEPHENS: Mr. Chairman, thanks very much. I, too, would like to echo the thoughts that many already have about, you know, thanking the Secretary, certainly not least the staff, and fellow Commissioners, and you, Charles, for herding us as we've gone through this process.

I've learned much over the last year from a -- what I consider a diverse group of fellow Commissioners. We have worked hard to understand our respective positions, and I think it has been very important that we've been able to align around a set of common values and expectations of higher education.

I think page 3 of the report delineates those in those five bullet points that are in the

36 1 middle of the page. And I think that unto itself is 2 extremely important, because any time we're trying to talk about the level of change that we've discussed, 3 4 particularly in the areas of access, cost, 5 financial affordability, aid, learning, 6 accountability, and as just mentioned was 7 significant importance about transparency, so long as we have a set of expectations we can rally around we 8 9 may differ in how to implement those expectations, but the focus for our success will in fact be around 10 making sure we're all aligned to state that's the 11 12 direction that we're going. I think going forward we have much to do, 13 14

as a nation, to begin alignment, and there are a number of us now prepared to help support that. as was pointed out earlier on by many, we have some heavy lifting yet to do, and our work has just begun.

You have my commitment to help support implementation and continue the debate get alignment about how we press forward, and will enthusiastically sign the report.

> Thank you, Rick. CHAIRMAN MILLER:

Dr. Sullivan, Louis Sullivan. lost him. That's a shame. Dr. Sullivan?

(No response.)

Dr. Zemsky, you may have the honor of not

26

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

being last. Would you try to speak up? Dr. Robert Zemsky.

COMMISSIONER ZEMSKY: Charles, I don't know who is going to be most uncomfortable about the fact that I have near the last word on this, you or me.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN MILLER: I'll do it either way you want, Dr. Zemsky.

(Laughter.)

I would not be me if I did not say I was uncomfortable, if I did not say that I share some of David Ward's real interest. I pleaded, as you all know, that we ought to be long on recommendations, which as everybody has said are powerful, purposeful, and to the point, and short on surrounding noise.

And I think we're still too long on surrounding noise, and I think, Cheryl, when you talk about copy editing, I hope there really is a very strong copy-editing job done on this document.

And I really want to talk about things that Nick and Sara and Art said about going forward. And I think it's terribly important that we not only strap on our armor but we sort of understand the desert we're about to try to go off.

I don't know how many of you have been

reading all of your e-mails or whether I'm the only one that gets all these e-mails, but I have been really disheartened by the number of e-mails I have gotten from people who I think would naturally support our recommendations, and who have, for a variety of reasons, became extraordinarily hostile to our efforts.

The point is, as Art points out, they have stopped reading what we are writing. I think that -going forward in a way begins today, but we still suffer from what happened three months ago. And why we would all like to pretend it didn't happen, and that we came through the travail and we're all one, there are a lot of people out there who no longer believe in us. So that when we say we have heavy lifting to go forward, we are doing the heavy lifting.

We have trust rebuilding.

I would remind my fellow Commissioners that higher education is a particular kind of enterprise where the easiest thing to do is to do nothing. And our whole thrust is to say you can no longer do nothing, you must do something.

More than that, you must do something purposeful and for the good of the nation, because you don't get people up and moving in your revolution by first telling them that they are on the wrong side --

David's right. We need to be sure that as we go forward we talk about building on strength and not building on weakness.

But the recommendations are strong, and I

support them, and I will sign the document.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you very much,

Check one more time. Dr. Sullivan?
(No response.)

He has expressed support for the report; I am at liberty to say that. The two missing members -- Kati Haycock and Gerri Elliott -- said the same thing. So I'll just add that to the conversation.

Governor Hunt.

appreciated all of these comments. And I don't know exactly what you anticipate us doing after you go around, but I do want to say this. It's time to work. As soon as this report is approved today, I hope that the Secretary, whose idea this was and who has given us wonderful leadership, that the President elected by America and whom the Secretary serves, you as our Chairman, and all of us as members of this Commission, will get ready to go forward with a specific plan to implement the proposal to this report.

I think that should be seen in proposals

Rob.

by the President. I would hope that all of these major recommendations in our report would be reflected in proposals by the President to the Congress. I hope that the Secretary will very actively work for those, lobby those, testify to the Congress, and that you, Mr. Chairman, would do the same thing.

I would hope that we would also focus on the states. At one point, talking to President Nunley, she made the point that we can't let the states off the hook, and that is exactly right. The state should feel the urgency to -- in their own way to pursue these recommendations, and to put more resources into higher education. As has happened with the K-12 education, we need to have the governors very actively involved in this.

We need to have the business community pushing forward and very strongly for these changes. And we need to have the education leaders themselves, in particular all of the organizations there in Washington, D.C., who -- where they have their headquarters there, at least most of them, working very actively to make this come about.

So it's going to take very hard work, and this nation can do it. We've seen it happen before. It can happen again, but that's what's going to be required now. And I would hope very much as we vote

for this today, and we discuss it in all kinds of venues around America, that our elected leaders in particular to allow -- get ready to go forward and make this a very strong subject of America's discussion over the next years to come.

I would hope it would be discussed in political campaigns at all levels, that all of us would work together to make the very strong and powerful changes in higher education and our nation's commitment to it that's going to be required.

So, Mr. Chairman, I'm delighted to hear that -- the comments about this, the commitment to it that we heard in this discussion today, and I hope very much that you will spend the next several years, and the Secretary will, pushing forward on this just as you have done in leading what I think is the very fine work of this Commission.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Governor. I'm going to take that as a call for action. And if you would let me -- I think the Chairman is not supposed to technically talk about a motion, but rather than say something after the vote, just some comments in a general sense about the report.

I think there are some unique things about this report relative to higher education that are important. It's been covered by several people

already. Innovation and the focus on that is very important. For all of us in all parts of society that is important, and that was brought out by a major study from the Council on Competitiveness that we talk about by reference as we did The Gathering Storm and the President's American Competitiveness Initiative.

That's a harder thing to do than to say -and an institution which is proud to have stayed and
been the fine institution it has been, higher
education and to some extent protects its form, that's
a harder thing to accept sometime, and I think that's
a message -- that it's different in this report.

I think what's different is we focused on lifelong learning, the non-traditional students, and what we're going to see in the future, 70 percent or more of the students, so that instead of segmenting higher education, which is the tendency of the task force, the Commission, and looking at the way we're structured today, even with the diversity among those, and getting locked into the present as opposed to thinking of the future, we talk more about lifelong learning and the flexibility and responsiveness of that part of the system. And that is a very, very different approach.

I think that wouldn't have been the typical result of people from higher education only

that it helped -- we had the innovative people from higher education and people from other points of view.

I think several of you have said this, but I think transparency and outcomes accountability is different in this report, and that the Academy heard that early on and it acted very responsibly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And I'm proud to say that AASCU and NASULGC and others have been receptive to that and are way ahead of where anybody would have thought they would have been a year from now. And I think that's the way it should be, that the higher ed systems heard that and have responded. And transparency breeds trust.

It's maybe the most important thing of all that we could say, and with that trust and innovation Chuck Vest said, you get reform, as investment. I think those are the orders in which you for funds, and should make significant ask we investments in higher education at every level and every place, including from the private sector.

I think the financial things that we said in the report are also unique that wouldn't have been in other kinds of reports. So I think when we look back at it they are futuristic-type focuses, they are not narrow, they aren't historically the way we did it, so in those new ways of looking at things I think

go

we've accomplished something that would be beneficial for the future, for higher education and public education and for the country. So I thank you very much for your service. I'd like to call for a vote signified by saying aye. (Chorus of ayes.) Opposed? COMMISSIONER WARD: Mr. Chairman, I'd like the record to reflect that I will not be signing the report. Thank you very much. 11 CHAIRMAN MILLER: We'll record it that way. I'm going to assume that 12 13 everyone else recorded affirmatively, and including the two people that are not here to voice that but 14 15 gave me authority to do that. 16 Can't thank you enough for getting to this 17 place efficiently with the most difficult -- hard work 18 in process I've ever seen. I'd like to use this 19 opportunity to do what some of you said, but also feel 20 free to -- if you need to get up and move around or 21 catch an airplane or get started, feel free to do 22 that. 23 about suggestions for how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

25

26

I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. MR. DUNN: But if I might, and it's appropriate, just --

forward? What -- excuse me, David.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Please.

MR. DUNN: -- express -- the Secretary asked me to express her thanks for, again, all of the hard work that -- from all of the Commissioners and the staff, everybody involved with this report. She -- I think her primary objective in naming this Commission a year ago is embedded in the title of the report, and that is a national dialogue around higher education.

And as many of the Commissioners have commented in the remarks, she clearly believes that -- that dialogue has started. But also echoing what many of the Commissioners have said, we certainly shouldn't view this as the conclusion of that dialogue. And she is very much committed going forward to keeping that dialogue alive.

She is looking forward to reviewing and will commend to folks in the Department to do a thorough review of the recommendations. And I'm sure you'll be hearing words from her in terms of implementation in the not-too-distant future.

So, but I just wanted to take the opportunity to relay the Secretary's gratitude for all the hard work from each and every one of you.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, David, very

much.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2 COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes. Dr. Sullivan, welcome.

COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: All right. Well, thanks very much. I was on at the beginning, but I'm trying to do too many things, with because apologies I had signed off. But I did want to call express to you and the members Commission and you, Mr. Chairman, my gratitude for your leadership and the great support.

And, secondly, to sate that I fully support the report as it has been developed. And as you know, one of my concerns has been points of access to educational opportunities for the nation's poor and minorities, and I do believe the report addresses that in sufficient detail and commitment. So I feel very good about what has been developed there.

And my second concern has been, of course, the whole issue of access to graduate and professional education, but recognizing that the Commission is focused primarily on undergraduate education. I know that the focus of this report is appropriate, but I would hope that -- and I think as Jim Duderstadt has also suggested, that somewhere in the preamble there be a reference to the need for also having some

actions and some observations of the need for changes in graduate and professional education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So that was my one other comment. That in no way detracts from my support of the report as it has been developed.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Dr. Sullivan.

We heard those comments, and we'll find a way to add
that in the material.

COMMISSIONER ROTHKOPF: Mr. Chairman, it's Arthur Rothkopf. Just on your question implementation, let me suggest this. I'm certainly than willing to do it, and I'm sure other Commissioners will as well, to the extent that these issues come before the Congress, I think it would be important that, of course, the Secretary be there to promote that, but that also members of the Commission make themselves available for any such appearances.

In addition, as higher education groups and other groups meet on the report, and we all --some of us may not know about those meetings, I would really urge that the Department ask individual Commissioners or maybe groups of us to go before these organizations and explain our recommendations, and seek to persuade those who may be skeptics or may not quite understand what we're doing, or what we have done, to support these recommendations.

16

15

10

11

12

13

14

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

So I guess what I'm urging is that our group of 19, those who feel comfortable doing it, go out and sell these recommendations to policymakers, to Congress, to others, perhaps to editorial boards, to maybe run op-ed pieces, and these issues are debated, but that we not just disappear into the woodwork, but that we become active proponents of what has been proposed and which I think we as a Commission believe is important for the future of the country and for the students who will be in higher education.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you. Just as a matter of equity and friendship, I would just ask Dr. Zemsky to see if he would have a comment on that going start at the end of the alphabet. Dr. Zemsky.

COMMISSIONER ZEMSKY: Yes, I'm here. I'm I'm glad I'm going to go first. I'll get into less trouble this way, I suspect.

I think what Art has said is right, that the report will have saliency provided it comes to the higher education community from our guide. just mean those of us at universities. I think some of the things that Nick has said over and over again, and Rick Stephens has said over and over again, need to be heard in higher education.

What's an interesting thought, and would

make me much more comfortable actually, is get the report but sort of set forth through a group of messengers rather than believing a report like A Nation at Risk was going to be the catalyst.

I think if this is going to work, we as individuals have to be the catalyst, and I think that actually has real promise for the future.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you.

Dr. Vest.

COMMISSIONER VEST: Mr. Chairman, I, too, will stick my neck out. I'd like to suggest four near-term things that need to be done in my view as we in the Department of Education, the administration, leave the starting blocks.

One, I want to second something you said earlier, and I see my colleague Peter McPherson here. I think one of the most important things is for NASULGC to continue its good work and propagate it across the higher ed community and advertise what has come from within, from our faculties, from our administration, inside out, from bottom up, to address some of the issues of transparency and accountability, and so forth.

Second, no matter how we look at it,
Secretary Spellings will be faced with a large menu of
possible things to drive and prioritize. And I would

urge her to first and foremost pick our recommendations regarding restructuring and reinvesting in need-based financial aid, administration to serious through the put legislative package together. would be That personally my first priority.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Third, because things are happening even as we sit here, there is very important innovation legislation in the Congress right now that reflects the work you, Mr. Chairman, referred to earlier -- the President's American competitiveness issue, the Council on Competitiveness' national innovation initiative, and the National Academy's Gathering Storm report.

I would urge all of us from business, higher education, the administration, and the Congress, to move aggressively as soon as folks are reconvened at the end of the summer to move that legislation forward. Among other things, that will assure some of our critics who do not recognize that we do realize the excellence and importance of our research universities and of innovation for the nation's competitive future.

And, finally, within the higher education community, I would pick up on something that was said a little bit ago -- and, David, I believe it was you,

I'm not sure. The simplest thing we can do is start sharing best practices. There is a lot of good thinking, good change, good innovation out there in our colleges and universities, and I think we in the community simply need to take it upon ourselves to advertise that, to share it, to promulgate it, and that's the fastest way forward.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Excuse me. On the latter, we talked about including that in part of the report.

MS. OLDHAM: We did. Initially, we had some sort of models, best practices that we sent around to everybody that was included in the initial draft, sort of sidebars to be included in the report, and that was our sort of plan as we go forward with the layout, to highlight various models in the report.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: And to add to that over time, and my recommendation and advice would be for the Secretary to find as many of those as we can, and -- excuse me for this informality -- reach out and put our arms around them. In other words, to really highlight those from her bully pulpit or whatever. That would be one of the pieces of advice. And so could any of the members here, that we should highlight those really innovative ideas.

COMMISSIONER HUNT: Mr. Chairman, this is Jim Hunt again. There have been a lot of good comments about the work of the staff, and they've done an excellent job. I would hope very much that the Secretary would ask the staff, or at least a good number of them, to continue to work on this just as they have, as we have developed this report, to continue to focus on implementing these proposals, you know, for the years to come.

other things and get their mind off this ball, we're not going to bring about the changes that we're proposing here and that America needs. So I would hope very much that she, with your encouragement and your leadership, would continue to have a large part of this staff to put this now on implementation and work on it every single day.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: I don't want to speak for the Secretary, but I -- I agree with what you said. I think what I could say is I think she is prepared to put her efforts into this issue, that she has heard the national dialogue, she is going to study the report, there will be some statements about what her plans would be in both the near future, and I expect when we present the report to her formally, which probably is mid-September, we'll begin to hear

from her and from the Department about specific plans.

So I think this is just -- this isn't the finality of the Commission. In the technical sense, we do this formality in mid-September, and I think at that time she would have studied and been able to respond more definitively.

COMMISSIONER HUNT: And I would hope that she would call us, the staff would suggest places that different members of the Commission might go to speak to be a part of a panel. But different ways that we can move forward and talk to the people and encourage action that's going to be necessary.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: I'm sure she would be responsive to that very much.

COMMISSIONER ROTHKOPF: Mr. Chairman, those are great statements. I think along those lines, I think that it would in fact be helpful that after the report is presented to the Secretary if she can give us some guidance about her expectations of us. As I think we've all talked about, you know, many of us, if not all of us, are clearly prepared to help us support the dialogue.

Having a forum that keeps us aligned and integrated will be critically important. If the Commission comes to an end when the report is submitted, as I think it is currently characterized,

it certainly I think creates a bit of limbo about how best to go work that. So I would urge that at least we get some feedback from the Secretary on her expectations, and I think many of us are prepared to continue to serve.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: We'll pass that on. She has a vacation scheduled now, and I told her she didn't have to stay for the meeting. So she has gone on to her vacation, or she would be here and be listening to you. But she'll hear what you're saying.

Go ahead, Dr. Vedder.

COMMISSIONER VEDDER: Yes. When Governor Hunt said the Secretary should energize the staff, I thought he was going to -- I was hoping he was going to say he ought to throw a party for the staff, because they are much deserving of some sort of accolades for their tremendous work.

And also, Governor Hunt said earlier something that as we get into the implementation stage, and I completely agree with Art Rothkopf who set the tone for this discussion with Chuck, and Bob Zemsky who I have actually grown to like and even agree with on occasion as this process has gone along, which is somewhat unexpected, that I think Governor Hunt mentioned something earlier that in terms of implementation we cannot forget that a lot of what

higher education does is at the state level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We talked for example about moving to more emphasis on need-based financial aid. A lot of these financial aid programs are state-based programs. The Georgia Hope Scholarship Program is a model for many states. We need to get in the legislatures. And of course, obviously, some people are better in some states than others, given their geographic locations.

But I think in pledging, not only should we agree to come to Washington and testify before Congress, which is of course important, and write for editorial boards, meet with editorial boards, and all of that other stuff, all of that is very important. But we need to get out where the action is.

Still, two-thirds of higher education in the United States is publicly funded, and a majority of the funding to the institutions themselves still come at the state and local level. And we cannot forget that.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you.

Dr. Nunley.

COMMISSIONER NUNLEY: I want to affirm what Dr. Vedder just said as a person who spends a lot of time in those state legislatures and as a person who looked at Maryland performance on the Measuring Up

report and found our affordability measure very low, and was told the reason why was because our state support for need-based aid was so low. And so we do need to make sure that the power of these recommendations be carried forward.

I would make one other comment. As a college President, I cannot ever remember an idea or concept that has been brought forward that met with universal acclaim in my own institution. I think those of us who work in higher education understand the nature of deliberative dialogue that tends to go on in academia. It is a good thing.

I believe that there will be dissension, concern, conversation, around the recommendations in this report, and I don't believe we should be discouraged by that. I think we should be encouraged by that.

Ι think planting the seeds for conversation is a good thing, and ongoing dialogue and debate can lead to an even better place from a very good place where we So understanding the are. circumstances of one institution, I can certainly understand the circumstances that David Ward faces in dealing with a multiplicity of institutions with multiple roles.

But, again, I want to emphasize that part

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of our follow-up process should be to encourage the dialogue and debate and to not be overcome by the dissension that may come forward, but to use that to take higher education to a better place.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you.

Sara.

COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Mr. Chair, echoing some of the comments that have been made before, I have three suggestions for implementation. the first is -- and we've talked -- Rich talked about it a little bit. The six recommendations are so farreaching and diverse, and each involves different stakeholders.

I do think that it would be important for us to identify possible champions that could lead the effort to make sure that it's coordinated and that all efforts are aligned. So establishing champions for all six and the accountability for implementation for all six I think is important.

The second is I couldn't agree with Chuck more. We need to have a prioritization to see which are the ones that will bring us quicker results sooner, and I happen to agree that the restructuring of financial aid and the focusing of more resources to need-based is probably important. But I think an implementation plan -- and I encourage the staff to

think through and what order we might want to think about these.

And then, to the point that Rick Stephens was making, and others have suggested, not only is there an ongoing role for us between meetings as it were, but I think that the Secretary should ask us to reconvene formally to gauge progress on where we are and to hear from these champions on progress made, so that we can understand whether more work is required to get these six recommendations implemented.

So the three -- ownership, prioritization, and then frequent checking on progress I think is important for us.

COMMISSIONER DONOFRIO: Mr. Chairman, I agree with all of what has been said by my colleagues, but let me just add that we need business to support this as well. We need a strong effort to get the industrial sector of the country, the business sector of the country, behind this initiative.

I commit to do that. I commit to help you and the Secretary in any way and every way I can, because, after all, in the end 70 percent of what comes out of higher education actually ends up being employed by the businesses that make up the economy of the United States.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you for saying

that, because I agree wholeheartedly with that necessity as well as the goodwill that that shows, because I think of all the sectors of the country they understand, admire, and appreciate the value of it, and need that the most. So I thank you very much for bringing that up.

Any other comments? Bob.

COMMISSIONER MENDENHALL: Mr. Chairman, I think during the course of our deliberations there has been a lot of discussion about how much of what we're recommending is mandated or pushed on institutions and how much institutions can individually take the lead.

As one of the active university presidents at the table, I want to commit our university to take a leadership role in implementing the recommendations of this report. We actually announced recently that we're not going to increase tuition at all in the current year. I don't know whether we'll be able to increase productivity fast enough to keep that flat forever, but that would be our goal.

We've also created a fund for need-based scholarships specifically to allow additional students in need to come to the university. You know that we already measure learning and make it a condition of graduation, but we've made a commitment with our board to publish data on results and outcomes from the

university.

And I would just encourage my colleague presidents from universities and colleges around the country to join with us in taking these recommendations to heart and implementing them one at a time, one institution at a time, and I believe we can make a great difference in higher education in that way.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you. That's a wonderful offer and wonderful advice.

Dr. Madrid.

commissioner Madrid: Mr. Chairman, in my eagerness to comment on the report, I neglected to add my appreciation for your work and for the Secretary's engagement in this initiative, and, of course, for the staff's very good work. So I join my colleagues in that.

I want to close by saying that I am particularly heartened not only by the thrust of the report with respect to addressing those folks who need the most help, but I'm hoping also that the institutions that are charged with this also get the support that they require.

I'm also gratified to see that the fund for the improvement of post-secondary education, which I had the privilege and honor of serving as Director

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

of, has appeared in our report, and that it will be refocused and revitalized and you can contribute to the effort.

And, finally, I hope that we Commission, with the support the of Secretary's office, can get the word out to our colleagues in higher education. Many years ago I served on a WICHE task force, the Western Interstate Commission Higher Education task force, and produced a report. very useful, recall it was а important addressing education in the west.

And our Director went around the states talking to people about the report, and in one conversation with the Chief Executive Officer he asked if the Chief Executive Officer had read it. And the Chief Executive Officer said, "Not personally." And I hope we don't have that kind of a situation with this report. Let's make sure that people do read it.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you very much, Arturo.

Any other comments or observations?
(No response.)

What an efficient group, hardworking, dedicated, and what a privilege and an honor for me to serve with all of you. I'm committed to follow up on

all of these recommendations and to help you all do what you offered to do. I don't feel this is the final step for the Commission, even though we've made the most important stage of it.

We ought to have a presentation process to the Secretary formally when the report has been finished literally, and I would guess some time in mid-September. And in the meantime we're pledged to continue the discussion and dialogue and the plans for the future for whatever way we can support or offer that, we being individually and the staff.

The Commission would be formally closed I expect 30 days -- within 30 days after the presentation of the report to the Secretary. And I'm sure she's counting on you to do the things you've offered to help. Again, I'm not speaking for her, but I know her sense of what we've been doing, and I know her enough to say that I'm sure she is counting on all of you and will appreciate that contribution.

I think there's a large contingent of the press here today. I know a lot of you have travel plans. You're welcome to stay and talk to -- and use the facilities. If anything -- you need any help from the staff, please accept that, and have a safe and comfortable journey home. And we'll all be talking and communicating soon.

										63
1		Than	c you	very,	very	much	from	the	bot	tom
2	of my heart.									
3	(Applause.)									
4	(Whereupon,	at	11:29	a.m.,	the	proc	ceedin	ıgs	in	the
5		fore	going	matter	were	adjo	ırned.	.)		
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										